



SF Environment

Our home. Our city. Our planet.

A Department of the City and County of San Francisco

London N. Breed
Mayor

Deborah O. Raphael
Director

**City and County of San Francisco
Department of the Environment
Urban Forestry Council**

MEETING MINUTES DRAFT

**Friday, March 25, 2022, 8:30 a.m.
Remote meeting via web conference**

Refer to the “Remote Access to Information and Participation” section below for instructions.

Council Members: Andrew Sullivan (Chair), Nicholas Crawford (Vice Chair, San Francisco Public Works), Igor Lacan, Jillian Keller, Morgan Vaisset-Fauvel, Ildiko Polony, Pamela Nagle, Edgar Xochitl Flores, Mike Sullivan, Damon Spigelman (San Francisco Public Utilities Commission), Ilaria Salvadori (San Francisco Planning Department), Tai Trang (Port of San Francisco), Spencer Potter (Recreation and Parks Department), and Lew Stringer (Presidio Trust)

Order of Business

Public comment will be taken before the Council takes action on any item.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call.

The meeting began at 8:44 a.m.

Present: Chair Sullivan, Vice Chair Crawford, Member Keller, Member Vaisset-Fauvel, Member Polony, Member Nagle, Member Xochitl-Flores, Member Sullivan, Member Salvadori, Member Trang, and Member Potter.

Excused: Member Lacan, Member Spigelman, and Member Stringer.

2. Chair’s Welcome and Land Acknowledgement. (Discussion)

Chair Sullivan welcomed everyone to the meeting and read the Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement.

Peter Brastow introduced Kyle Wehner, the new Commission Affairs Officer. Kyle introduced himself.

Public Comment: Lance Carnes began to comment on the tree management system. Peter reminded Lance that this public comment is specific to the Chair’s welcome and the land acknowledgement, and asked Lance to wait until the appropriate agenda item.

3. Review and vote on whether to approve Resolution File 2022-03-UFC, Resolution Making Findings to Allow Teleconferenced Meetings Under California Government Code Section 54953(e).

Speaker: Peter Brastow, Urban Forestry Council Coordinator (Explanatory Document: Resolution File 2022-03-UFC) (Discussion and Action)

The Council will discuss and consider adoption of a resolution making findings that Government Code Section 54953(e) requires in order to allow the Council to hold meetings remotely, as currently required under local law, without complying with certain Brown Act requirements.

There was no public comment.

Upon a motion by Chair Sullivan and a second by Member Vaisset-Fauvel, the resolution was approved without objection. (Ayes: Chair Sullivan, Vice Chair Crawford, Member Keller, Member Vaisset-Fauvel, Member Polony, Member Nagle, Member Xochitl-Flores, Member Sullivan, Member Salvadori, Member Trang, and Member Potter; Noes: None; Excused: Member Lacan, Member Spigelman, and Member Stringer)

4. Adoption of Minutes of the February 22, 2022, Urban Forestry Council Regular Meeting. (Explanatory Document: February 22, 2022, Draft Minutes) (Discussion and Action)

There was no public comment.

Upon a motion by Vice Chair Crawford and a second by Member Nagle, the minutes were approved without objection. (Ayes: Chair Sullivan, Vice Chair Crawford, Member Keller, Member Vaisset-Fauvel, Member Polony, Member Nagle, Member Xochitl-Flores, Member Sullivan, Member Salvadori, Member Trang, and Member Potter; Noes: None; Excused: Member Lacan, Member Spigelman, and Member Stringer)

5. General Public Comment: Members of the public may address the Council on matters that are within the Council's jurisdiction and are not on today's agenda. (Discussion)

Public Comment: Lance Carnes said he had heard that the Bureau of Urban Forestry and the Recreation & Park Department had been collaborating on a tree management system with a final decision to be made by the Department of Public Works. Lance described such a plan as foolhardy and encouraged policymakers to exercise caution.

6. Review and vote on approving the 2021 Annual Urban Forest Report. Speaker: Peter Brastow, San Francisco Environment Urban Forestry Council Coordinator (Explanatory Document: Final Draft 2021 Annual Urban Forest Report) (Discussion and Action)

Chair Sullivan noted that the Council is late in reviewing and approving the report.

Peter Brastow reviewed highlights from the report.

Chair Sullivan asked how the report can be used as a messaging tool when communicating with other City agencies and the public. Member Sullivan said the report can be used to demonstrate the need for funding to increase the City's tree canopy.

Chair Sullivan agreed and noted that the report suggests the City's tree canopy is headed in the wrong direction.

Member Salvadori said the report's findings must be presented in a clear, accessible way, and added that, if used effectively, the report could spark action from different City agencies to help increase the tree canopy.

Chair Sullivan stressed the importance of making findings accessible when presenting to the Board of Supervisors and other agencies.

Member Vaisset-Fauvel said more trees need to be planted on sidewalks and added that information from the report must be used to motivate action from different agencies.

Member Polony asked about the relative impacts of staffing and funding constraints. Vice Chair Crawford noted that funding is available, but said it can take many months to attract and hire qualified staff.

Member Polony asked about whether salaries have struggled to remain competitive or that the hiring process itself takes a long time. Vice Chair Crawford said both factors have been challenges.

Member Vaisset-Fauvel said the combination of fixed wages and high living costs has made meeting these goals increasingly challenging over time.

Vice Chair Crawford noted the termination of some employees due to vaccination mandates has made the near-term outlook worse.

Member Xochitl said there is a clear need to educate and train the workforce in the long run, and added that the report's findings should be used to emphasize this need.

Vice Chair Crawford said a planned partnership with Merritt College has been promising, but noted that City College's horticulture program has shrunk in recent years.

Member Polony said that outreach has been a significant issue, noting that there may not be the right kind of culturally sensitive outreach available.

Member Trang said that the Port of San Francisco does not have a designated staff member who is trained in urban forestry. Member Trang added that outside contractors stepping in to fill this void often face a difficult and cumbersome process.

Member Sullivan encouraged Council members to lean into their connections and use social media to get the message out.

Chair Sullivan asked whether the report can be used to highlight deliverables from the past year. Peter noted that the Council's advisory role can be a bit circuitous, as it often requires drafting and approval of resolutions and then the forwarding of those resolution to the Board of Supervisors by the Department, but noted that direct advocacy by Council members can be impactful.

Member Vaisset-Fauvel said he is comfortable delivering a public comment before the Board of Supervisors, but added that the Council must focus on outreach to the broader community, including youth.

Member Trang noted that there may be room for additional workforce development opportunities at the Port.

Vice Chair Crawford noted that there is a citywide arborist apprenticeship program, but it has been mired in a conflict with a union. Vice Chair Crawford said he would welcome support from policymakers to get this program up and running.

Member Potter said the Department of Recreation & Parks is proud of its development and outreach programs, but noted that these programs often have a broad educational approach and do not focus on building difficult, technical skills such as tree cutting.

Chair Sullivan said he would like to highlight the Council's work and make the case for additional support at an upcoming meeting of the Board of Supervisors, and expressed his support for Member Sullivan's argument for messaging on social media. Chair Sullivan asked Peter to bring this issue to the Planning and Funding Committee for further consideration. Peter confirmed that this subject can be discussed at a future Planning and Funding Committee meeting.

Vice Chair Crawford praised the level of detail in the report and expressed support for incorporating updates on drought and heat impacts in next year's survey. Vice Chair Crawford also identified a pair of clerical errors in the report.

Member Salvadori exited the meeting. The Council retained a quorum.

Member Vaisset-Fauvel and Member Xochitl suggested several design changes to make the report easier to read.

Public Comment: Lance Carnes said this discussion was long-overdue. Lance recommended adopting a new urban forest plan that features more realistic tree planting goals. Lance encouraged the Council to reach out to other cities with urban forest plans and recommended that a professional urban forest planner provide support.

Joshua Klipp said he disagreed that the Council should set less ambitious goals on increasing the tree canopy. Josh added that the next annual report will be due in six months and said the report should include information on tree mortality. Josh noted that he looks forward to seeing how the report is used to further the Department's strategic plan. Josh also asked why Mission Verde was not consulted on budgets for planting.

Katherine Howard said there should be greater awareness of the importance of trees in San Francisco. Katherine expressed support for greater funding to plant and water trees, as well as more accurate data on trees in the City. Katherine also expressed support for additional trees in neighborhoods with sparse tree canopy, such as the Outer Sunset. Katherine also noted that trees deserve greater respect by City departments, especially trees in sidewalks.

Michael Rothman referenced the section of the report on the Bureau of Urban Forestry and asked how it is possible to decrease funding for a voter-approved ballot measure.

Vice Chair Crawford noted that the Department is allowed a percentage of the City's aggregate discretionary revenue, which can result in decreased funding for certain programs if the discretionary revenue allocated to the Department changes.

Upon a motion by Vice Chair Crawford and a second by Member Xochitl, the report as amended was approved. (Ayes: Chair Sullivan, Vice Chair Crawford, Member Keller, Member Vaisset-Fauvel, Member Polony, Member Nagle, Member Xochitl-Flores, Member Sullivan, Member Trang, and Member Potter; Noes: None; Excused: Member Lacan, Member Salvadori, Member Spigelman, and Member Stringer)

7. Discuss and vote on the strategic priorities of the Urban Forestry Council. (Discussion and Possible Action) The Council may make a motion regarding setting future priorities for the Council based on items (a) and (b).

- a. **Discussion of the goals of the 2019 Urban Forestry Council Strategic Plan.** Speaker: Andrew Sullivan, Council Chair. (Explanatory Documents: 2019 UFC Strategic Plan; Strategic Plan priorities matrix updated 2022)

Chair Sullivan said this discussion will not be a full strategic planning session, but will be a good opportunity to review the Council's goals and priorities. Chair Sullivan said the Council must be thoughtful about making commitments considering limitations in time and resources, and recommended prioritizing the very top issues. Chair Sullivan noted that the Council should consider a broad view of the urban forest that considers a diversity of perspectives. Chair Sullivan also said the Council should adopt a communications plan that clearly articulates policy and funding priorities.

Member Nagle reported on Committee No. 1's policy recommendations on biodiversity, tree species palette and availability, and climate change. Member Nagle said Committee No. 1 developed a working definition of biodiversity and discussed underplanted species. Member Nagle said she would appreciate guidance on streamlining the committee's scope of work.

Member Keller said Committee No. 2 had a productive discussion on tree canopy and tree planting goals at the district-level. Member Keller said the committee discussed creating district-specific pamphlets identifying tree planting goals. Member Keller said Committee 2 considered expanding focus on trees on private property and trees in parks.

Member Polony said Committee No. 4 focused on developing separate communications plans targeted toward the public and policymakers. Member Polony said she met with Supervisor Mandelman to discuss the Urban Forestry Report and funding for tree planting. Member Polony noted that Dan Flanagan had served as a bridge to the Board of Supervisors and added that the Committee should coordinate these meetings again.

Chair Sullivan said the Council needs to prioritize these issues to build genuine momentum.

Member Polony asked whether communication and funding can be prioritized. Chair Sullivan expressed his support for this approach, adding that the Council could have a repeating agenda item focused on these goals.

Member Vaisset-Fauvel voiced his support for this plan, stressing the importance of communication in promoting education.

Peter Brastow suggested that the Council discuss the Climate Action Plan, noting that this may inform a broader discussion.

- b. **Discussion of the Urban Forestry and related actions in the 2021 San Francisco Climate Action Plan.** Speaker: Peter Brastow, Urban Forestry Council Coordinator. (Explanatory Documents: 2021 Climate Action Plan)

Peter Brastow reviewed highlights from the Climate Action Plan, including the Chapter on Healthy Ecosystems and the seven related strategies, with an emphasis on goals to “maximize trees throughout the public realm.”

Member Polony said the strategies in the Climate Action Plan will strengthen the Council's case for greater funding and support.

Chair Sullivan asked Peter how the City is tied to the actions outlined in the Climate Action Plan. Peter emphasized that the Climate Action Plan is the Mayor's plan, adding that it is up to the Mayor's Office to direct other city agencies to implement the plan.

Member Sullivan noted that the success or failure of the plan will not fall entirely on the Mayor, noting that several actions required in the plan are outside the City's control.

Member Potter noted that the City's Environment Code contains legal requirements on what actions identified in the Climate Action Plan must be implemented.

Member Polony added that the Commission on the Environment approved the Department of the Environment's most recent budget, which includes a \$3.2 million request from the City's general fund, a portion of which would go toward healthy ecosystems. Member Polony said the Council could pass a resolution in support of this request.

Peter noted that, on the guidance of the City Attorney, the Council may make a motion regarding setting future priorities.

Chair Sullivan asked Member Polony whether she wished to make a motion to formalize priorities for funding and communications. Member Polony said she could make a motion to prioritize the funding and communications goals within the strategic plan as a full Council, that the Council create structure to facilitate this in full Council meetings, and that working groups play an active role in achieving these goals.

Peter said that the motion would be for the Council to prioritize the funding and communications priorities of the strategic plan, including a focus by the working groups, and for the Planning and Funding Committee to work to create structure for these priorities. Member Polony suggested “using the working groups to take action” as an alternative.

Member Potter asked whether the Council had previously made a motion in support of the Climate Action Plan. Peter said the Council passed a resolution in support of the Climate Action Plan at its December 10, 2021, meeting.

Public Comment: Joshua Klipp said the Council is in a position to harness residents' desire to make a difference to combat climate change, and encouraged the Council to pursue outreach with neighborhood groups. Joshua encouraged the Council to pursue additional inter-departmental collaboration, stating that there is little coordination between departments. Joshua added that he would like to hear more about legislative initiatives.

Upon a motion by Member Polony and a second by Member Sullivan, the strategic priorities were approved. (Ayes: Chair Sullivan, Vice Chair Crawford, Member Keller, Member Vaisset-Fauvel, Member Polony, Member Nagle, Member Xochitl-Flores, Member Sullivan, Member Trang, and Member Potter; Noes: None; Excused: Member Lacan, Member Salvadori, Member Spigelman, and Member Stringer)

8. Committee Reports: (Discussion)

Planning and Funding Committee. Igor Lacan, Committee Chair.

The Chair will summarize agenda items from the previous Committee meeting.

Peter Brastow reported updates on the Planning and Funding Committee on behalf of Member Lacan.

Landmark Tree Ad Hoc Committee. Mike Sullivan, Committee Chair.

The Chair will summarize agenda items from the previous Committee meeting.

Member Sullivan reported updates for the Landmark Tree Ad Hoc Committee.

Member Polony asked whether members of the public are allowed to attend working group meetings. Peter noted that while these meetings do not need to be publicly noticed, there may be people who would like to attend certain meetings.

Public Comment: Joshua Klipp said he is happy to provide the Council with information.

9. Staff Report on recent work performed by the Urban Forestry Program on behalf of the Urban Forestry Council. Peter Brastow, Urban Forestry Coordinator, San Francisco Department of the Environment (Discussion)

Peter Brastow said the Department is seeking a part-time Urban Forestry Council Coordinator, adding that the job opening closes on April 4. Peter said he will work to ensure a smooth transition. Peter said the Department has been working to implement the Climate Action Plan and added that he would like to update the Council on this at its May meeting. Peter said he recently heard an interesting presentation on sustainability work, including tree canopy efforts, from the facilities leader of the San Francisco Unified School District.

There was no public comment.

10. Urban Forestry Council Member Announcements. (Discussion)

Chair Sullivan said the Presidio's Battery Bluff park will open on April 23. Chair Sullivan said this will be a beautiful new park and he is excited residents will soon get to experience it.

Vice Chair Crawford said there will be a commission for the new Department of Sanitation and Streets, and encouraged Council members to share this news with their networks. Vice Chair Crawford said there was an Arbor Day Event two weeks ago, which attracted more than 200 participants and featured the Mayor and the President of the Board of Supervisors. Vice Chair Crawford also provided an update on the new street tree nursery, including a press event scheduled in the coming weeks.

Public Comment: Joshua Klipp said he is excited to hear about the street tree nursery. Joshua said he served as a volunteer at the Arbor Day tree planting, but characterized it as a missed opportunity to engage the public.

11. New Business/Future Agenda Items. (Discussion and Possible Action)

Council members can introduce new agenda items for future consideration by the Council.

Member Sullivan recommended scheduling a discussion about adding a Friends of the Urban Forest representative to the Council. Member Sullivan also noted that he had recently encountered greater tree planting completed by the Department of Public Works, but noted that residents tend to be less engaged compared to plantings coordinated by Friends of the Urban Forest. Member Sullivan said that if this represents a citywide change, it would be helpful to discuss this as part of a future agenda item.

Chair Sullivan noted that he had reached out to Friends of the Urban Forest about getting representation in the Council and said that reengagement is needed.

Member Polony asked for a discussion about returning to in-person meetings. Peter Brastow said the Department had been adhering to guidance from the Mayor's Office, though chartered commissions recently returned to in-person meetings.

Member Polony said it would be helpful to have a discussion to determine whether other Council members supported the idea of returning to in-person meetings. Peter confirmed this could be included as a future agenda item.

Public Comment: Joshua Klipp said Vice Chair Crawford has his contact details, should anyone want to reach him.

12. Adjournment.

The meeting adjourned at 11:19 a.m.

The next meeting of the Urban Forestry Council is scheduled for Tuesday, May 27, 2022, at 8:30 a.m. Find out about upcoming deadlines, public hearings, and meetings. Search the [SFEnvironment.org](https://www.sfenvironment.org) website archived minutes and agenda.

Remote Access to Information and Participation

This meeting will be held remotely using video conferencing, through the [WebEx Meetings](#) platform, and by telephone for members of the public who are unable to attend using computers or smart devices.

Attending the Meeting: Watch or Listen

Members of the public have the following options for attending the meeting:

Option 1: Watch the meeting using a computer or smart device by clicking on the following link:

<https://ccsf.webex.com/ccsf/onstage/g.php?MTID=eecc10241b6c9bf355a325ef5523255fc>

- If you are able to and would like to watch via your computer, please follow these instructions: (i) Click on the link above; (ii) Enter your first name, last name, and email address if desired; (iii) Click "Join by Browser" (directly beneath the "Join Now" button);
- If you are able to watch via your smart mobile device: (i) Download the Webex Meetings application; (ii) Click on the link above; (iii) Click "Join"; (iv) Enter your name and email; (v) Click "Ready to Join".

Option 2: Join the meeting by phone if you do not have access to a computer or smart device.

Dial: **415-655-0001** and then enter the Access Code: **2482 223 8233**

Participating During Public Comment

Members of the public will have opportunities to participate during public comment. The public is asked to wait for the particular agenda item before making a comment on that item. Comments will be addressed in the order they are received. When the moderator announces that the Committee is taking public comment, members of the public can:

Participate over the phone by pressing *3 (this step is very important, as it will activate the "Raise Hand" icon in the Participant window).

Depending on the number of people also in line ahead of you, you may have to wait before it is your opportunity to speak. When it is your turn, you will be notified that your line has been unmuted, and it will be your opportunity to speak. Your line will be muted again when your allotted time expires.

Copies of explanatory documents are available, (1) on the Urban Forestry Council webpage <https://sfenvironment.org/about/taskforce/urban-forestry-council>; or (2) upon request to the Urban Forestry Coordinator, at telephone number 415-355-3733, or via e-mail at peter.brastow@sfgov.org.

Important Information

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Public Comment

At this time, members of the public may address the Committee on items of interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee but are not on today's agenda, including to request items they may wish to be on a future agenda. Public comment will be taken following each agendized item. Each member of the public may address the Committee for up to three minutes, unless otherwise announced by the Chair. If it is demonstrated that the comments by the public will exceed 15 minutes, the Chair may continue Public Comment to another time during the meeting.

NOTE: Persons unable to attend the meeting may submit to the Committee, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the agenda items above. These comments will be

made a part of the official public record and shall be brought to the attention of Committee Members. Any written comments should be sent to: Commission Affairs Manager, Department of the Environment, peter.brastow@sfgov.org, by 5:00 p.m. on the day prior to the hearing. Written public comment received by the Council will be posted as an attachment to the minutes.

The Brown Act forbids the Committee from taking any action or discussing any item or issue not appearing on the posted agenda. This rule applies to issues raised in public comment as well. In response to public comment, not on an agenda item, the Committee is limited to:

1. Briefly responding to statements made or questions posed by members of the public, or
2. Request staff to report back on a matter at a subsequent meeting, or
3. Directing staff to place the item or issue on a future agenda (Government Code Section 54954.2(a).)

Disability Access

The Urban Forestry Council meetings will be held virtually. The Committee meeting rooms are closed.

The following services are available on request 48 hours prior to the meeting; except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline shall be 4:00 p.m. of the last business day of the preceding week: For American sign language interpreters or the use of a reader during a meeting, a sound enhancement system, and/or alternative formats of the agenda and minutes, please contact the Department of Environment at (415) 355-3733 or peter.brastow@sfgov.org to arrange for the accommodation. Late requests will be honored, if possible.

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals. Individuals with chemical sensitivity or related disabilities should call the Mayor's Office on Disability at (415) 554-6789 or (415) 554-6799 (TTY) for additional information.

Language Access

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Commission. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact the Commission Affairs Manager at 415-355-3700 or peter.brastow@sfgov.org, at least 48 hours in advance of the hearing. Late requests will be honored if possible.

語言服務

根據語言服務條例(三藩市行政法典第91章)·中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語(泰加洛語)傳譯人員在收到要求後將會提供傳譯服務。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後透過要求而提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也將可提供。上述的要求·請於會議前最少48小時致電 415-355-3709或電郵至 peter.brastow@sfgov.org 向委員會秘書提出。逾期提出的請求·若可能的話·亦會被考慮接納。

Acceso A Idioma

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas "Language Access Ordinance" (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco "Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code") intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Las minutas

podrán ser traducidas, de ser requeridas, luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con el Secretario de la Comisión al 415-355-3733, o peter.brastow@sfgov.org por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

Access Sa Wika

Ayon sa Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 ng San Francisco Administrative Code), maaaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin sa wikang Tsino, Espanyol, at/o Filipino (Tagalog). Kapag hiniling, ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komisyon. Maari din magkaroon ng tulong sa ibang wika. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyaring tumawag sa Clerk ng Commission sa 415-355-3733, o peter.brastow@sfgov.org sa hindi bababa sa 48 oras bago mag miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng pagbibigyan.

Know Your Rights Under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, City Hall, Room 244, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683 at Phone No.: (415) 554-7724; Fax No.: (415) 554-5163; E-mail: soft@sfgov.org. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library and on the City's website at www.sfgov.org.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code §2.100, et. seq] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at: 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 252-3100, fax (415) 252-3112; web site at www.sfgov.org/ethics.

Peter Brastow, Healthy Ecosystems, Biodiversity and Urban Forestry Coordinator
TEL: (415) 355-3733; FAX: 415-554-6393